

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/617,094	07/11/2003	George T. C. Li	P-0001b(CIP)	1958
7590 04/09/2004		EXAMINER		
Clifford F. Rey 403 Parker Hill Road			PELHAM, JOS	EPH MOORE
Rockingham, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ı		I M			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/617,094	LI, GEORGE T. C.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joseph M Pelham	3742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 O after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
, <u> </u>	,				
·					
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex part</i> e Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the applic	eation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 1					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐					
Applicant may not request that any objection					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority docu 	ments have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority docu		-			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage			
application from the International E					
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(c)					
Attachment(s) 1) : Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview 9	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/11/03</u>. 	5B/08) 5) ☐ Notice of In 6) ☐ Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 3742

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-27 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6509550. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they either repeat subject matter of merely broaden the scope of the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph M Pelham whose telephone number is 703-308-1709. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on 703-308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,094 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOSEPH PELHAM DRIMARY EXAMINER